CP 1001

3

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR (CG)

WRIT PETITION NO. 3636 /2003

PETITIONER

D.K. Jain, aged about 48 yrs. son of Shri Mathuram Jain, Sub Engineer, Department of Water Resources, Tandula, resident of quarter No. H/O. J-3-04 Pragatinagar, Near Maharaja Furniture, Bhilai, Distt. Durg (C.G.).

P.R No. 3449/03
Presented by Shri ... M.J.
dated 06.11.2003

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. The State of Chhattisgarh, through : The Secretary, Department of Water Resources, Dau Kalyan Singh Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.).

RECEIVED COPY
11.03
Clerk to A. G. Bilaspur

2. Engineer - in - Chief, Department of Water Resources, Sihava Bhawan, Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

3. The Executive Engineer, Department of Water Resources, Tandula Water Resources Department, District Durg (C.G.)

शपथ आयुक्त
एस.के. शुक्ला
बिलासपुर तहसील कोर्ट

4. K.K. Chandrakar, Water Resources Department, Kondagaon, Distt. Bastar (Chhattisgarh).

5. The Collector, District Durg (Chhattisgarh).

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.3634 सन् 2003

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

05.12.2003

Smt. Fouzia Mirza, counsel for the petitioner.

Miss Sharmila Singhai, Deputy Govt. Advocate for the State.

Heard both the counsel.

By this writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has challenged the transfer order (Annexure P-1) dated 30.09.2003 by which the petitioner has been transferred from Sub Division No.5, District: Durg to Kondagaon, District: Bastar.

The petitioner is working as Sub Engineer at Tandula Water Resources Department, Sub Division No.5, Durg, and he has been transferred from Tandula Water Resources Department to Water Resources Department, Kondagaon, District: Bastar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that this is a mid term transfer and his daughters are studying in B.Sc. Final Year at Durg and if the petitioner is transferred to Bastar, which is a far of place that will put his family to lot of inconvenience. She further submitted that the petitioner may be allowed to make a representation to which the learned Deputy Govt. Advocate has no objection.

Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation raising all his grievances within ten days from today to respondent No.2 and in turn, respondent

[पीछे दे

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. 3635/ सन् 2003

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	No.2 shall decide the representation within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the same in an objective manner with a reasoned order. With the above observations and directions the petition stands disposed of. In view of this order, M.(W.)P.No.3281/2003 and I.A. No.10416/2003 also stand disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order. Sd/- L.C. Bhadoo Judge	